

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/002,346	10/25/2001	John W. von Holdt SR.	10778.00008	8313	
	22908 7	7590 04/14/2003				
BANNER & WITC			EXAMI		NER	
	SUITE 3000	WACKER DRIVE		CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
CHICAGO, IL 60606		, 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727	11	
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	1.1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
•	<u> </u>						
Office Action Summary	10/002,346	VON HOLDT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Stephen J. Castellano	arrasnandanca address					
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	liaatian						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
<u> </u>	with total consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-9</u> is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers	orosion roquiroment.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	- p 33 5.0.0. 33 120						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/002,346

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said undercut" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said undercut" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said undercut" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Letica ('080)(Letica 1), Letica ('805)(Letica 2) and Letica ('119) (Letica 3).

Letica 1 discloses the invention as best shown in Fig. 3, Letica 2 discloses the invention as best shown in Fig. 3, 5 and 7 (Fig. 3 and 7 are explained) and Letica 3 discloses the invention as best shown in Fig. 3 and 5 (Fig. 3 explained).

Letica 1, Letica 2 and Letica 3 disclose a plastic bucket comprising an upright, annular bucket wall (sidewall 22) having an inside wall radius and connecting to an annular lip, the

Art Unit: 3727

annular lip comprising a single annular wall portion extending upwardly from the bucket wall along a diagonal path (at inset wall 34), then in an upright vertical direction forming a first vertical wall section (connecting wall 30) having an outside radius and an inside radius, then outwardly in a generally horizontal annular flange (inset wall 36), and then upwardly in a vertical rim (that portion of the annular lip above second leg 41 and below bead 44 which includes portions of the arcuately shaped locking lip 46 at the inside rim wall), the vertical rim having an inside rim wall and an outside rim wall, the outside rim wall having a radius less than the inside wall radius of the annular bucket wall. Although no dimensions are discussed, it is readily apparent from Fig. 3, 5 and 7 that the relationship of the outside rim wall having a radius less than the inside wall radius of the annular bucket wall exists. All other radial dimension limitations are clearly shown.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 and 8-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,913,446 to von Holdt, Sr. et al. and over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,098,833 to von Holdt, Sr., deceased since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,346

Art Unit: 3727

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A plastic bucket comprising an upright, annular bucket wall connecting to an annular lip to form an open bucket mouth, the annular lip extending upwardly and inwardly along a diagonal path.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/002,346

Art Unit: 3727

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727 Page 5

sjc April 3, 2003